

1 YITAI HU (SBN 248085) yhu@akingump.com
2 STEVEN D. HEMMINGER (SBN 110665) shemminger@akingump.com
3 SEAN DEBRUINE (SBN 168071) sdebruine@akingump.com
4 SANG HUI MICHAEL KIM (SBN 203491) mkim@akingump.com

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

Two Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real, Suite 400
Palo Alto, CA 94306
Telephone: 650.838.2000
Facsimile: 650.838.2001

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
7 EPISTAR CORPORATION

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 OAKLAND DIVISION

12 EPISTAR CORPORATION,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 PHILIPS LUMILEDS LIGHTING
COMPANY, LLC,

16 Defendant.

Case No. C 07-5194 CW

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
EXTENDING TIME FOR EPISTAR
TO RESPOND TO PHILIPS
LUMILEDS LIGHTING
COMPANY, LLC'S MOTION FOR
STAY**

18 PHILIPS LUMILEDS LIGHTING
COMPANY, LLC,

19 Counterclaim
20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 EPISTAR CORPORATION,

23 Counterclaim
24 Defendant.

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Epistar Corporation (“Epistar”) and
2 Philips Lumileds Lighting Company, LLC (“Lumileds”) (collectively, the “Parties”)
3 hereby stipulate to change the briefing schedule for Lumileds’ Motion for Stay.

4 **STIPULATION**

5 WHEREAS, on January 18, 2008, Lumileds filed a notice of motion for stay of
6 this action;

7 WHEREAS, on January 31, 2008, Lumileds filed a memorandum in support of its
8 motion for stay, in accordance with Local Rule 7-2(a);

9 WHEREAS, by Order issued on January 29, 2008, the Court vacated the hearing
10 for Lumileds’ motion for stay and set the deadline for opposition to the motion for
11 February 14, 2008;

12 WHEREAS, it is a holiday week in Taiwan and Epistar’s counsel needs additional
13 time to confer with the client regarding its response to Lumileds’ motion for stay;

14 WHEREAS, the Parties, acting through their counsel, have met and conferred
15 regarding Epistar’s request for an extension of time for Epistar to file its opposition to
16 Lumileds’ Motion for Stay; and

17 WHEREAS, the only previous modification of deadlines in this case was the 30-
18 day extension given to Lumileds to respond to Epistar’s Complaint;

19 NOW THEREFORE,

20 The Parties, acting by and through their counsel of record, stipulate that Epistar’s
21 response to Lumileds’ Motion for Stay is due on Friday, February 15, 2008 and
22 Lumileds’ Reply is due on Friday, February 22, 2008.

23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Dated: February 14, 2008 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
2

3 By _____ /s/
4 Lenny Huang

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
6 EPISTAR CORPORATION

7 Dated: February 14, 2008 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
8

9 By _____ /s/
10 Amy Spicer

11 Attorneys for Defendant
12 Philips Lumileds Lighting Company, LLC

13 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I, Lenny
14 Huang, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from
15 Amy Spicer.

16 Dated: February 14, 2008

17 _____ /s/
18 Lenny Huang

19 PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
20

21 Dated March 3, 2008

22 _____
23 Honorable Claudia Wilken
24 United States District Judge
25